18.9.11.

Den Si,

I worke in respect of your Corritree's reven into energy pour and proming in boales The autumn and in posticulas to TAN 8 plenning quidance. The proposal to exect a undfam or fons and a newsork of pyline un and accross Progs is I believe fundamentally flawed for the following reasons What rubines are uneconomic in sens of their production of now I understand about 30 % of The dedased capability. Their contribution to reducing coolon footpourt 15 minimal in view of the necessity to Keys roal fixed proses crations roanning to take up the shake when the two jus are not producing prosed - and other Cembrie u Ewope have cherentled would Journs obseraby excited.

2) Both word habines and pylons would Servishy disfigure the country sade and Couse enventrated damage

3). Such damage would cause enconorne distres ur au area devening in care from tourison. hoss of such incorre could and in orang cases porbably would result in velfrer benefit darms so produig no benefit by way of taxes to the country and Sirrultuneausly improved a drain upon its freial asources. The Contritler anald consider le remone danage done to Inlandé superfont townson and nety by the unchecked expension of house building.

I believe the TAN 8 to be sensity flowed and that thee Should on stand or stand on all approximations until a comprehensive services has been completed.

Yours Jank Jully